1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5204
12	GARY CHIN
13	101 Arbusto Circle   Sacramento, CA 95831   DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32898
15	Respondent. [Gov. Code, §11520]
16	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT
18	1. On or about April 24, 2015, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity
19 20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
21	Accusation No. 5204 against Gary Chin (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
22	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
23	2. On or about August 9, 1979, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Original
24	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32898 to Respondent. The Original Pharmacist License was in full
25	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5204 and expired
26	on December 31, 2014.
27	3. On or about May 21, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
28	copies of the Accusation No. 5204, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
	· 1
	(GARY CHIN) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5204

(GARY CHIN) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5204

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 32898, heretofore issued to Respondent Gary Chin, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on January 29, 2016. It is so ORDERED December 30, 2015. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA By Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 

# Exhibit A

Accusation

	'
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2	JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS Deputy Attorney General
4	State Bar No. 154990  1300 I Street, Suite 125
5	P.O. Box 944255
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-6292
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5204
12	GARY CHIN
13	101 Arbusto Circle Sacramento, CA 95831 A C C U S A T I O N
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32898
15	Respondent,
16	<del></del>
17	Complainant alleges:
18	<u>PARTIES</u>
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about August 9, 1979, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH
22	32898 to Gary Chin ("Respondent"). Respondent's pharmacist license was in full force and
23	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on December 31, 2014,
24	unless renewed.
25	JURISDICTION
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
28	
	1
į.	Accusation

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked...".
- Code section-4300,1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs...

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment...

1/

27

28

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### COST RECOVERY

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## **DRUGS**

- 8. "Amphetamine" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(1).
- 9. "Methamphetamine" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2).

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Violations of State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j), for unprofessional conduct, in that on or about November 12, 2013, and February 4, 2014, Respondent violated state laws regulating controlled substances, as follows:
- a. On or about November 12, 2013, Sacramento Police Department officers contacted Respondent at his residence. During a consensual search of Respondent's home, the officers located approximately .20 grams of amphetamine and drug paraphernalia, commonly used to smoke methamphetamine, in plain view in Respondent's bedroom. Respondent was charged with possession of amphetamine and possession of drug paraphernalia, in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 11377, subdivision (a), and 11364, respectively.
- b. On or about February 4, 2014, at approximately 0243 hours, an officer with Sacramento Police Department contacted Respondent as he was seated in his vehicle in a parking lot. The officer conducted a records check on the vehicle, which showed that Respondent was recently involved with methamphetamine. Respondent consented to a search of his person and vehicle. The officer located a plastic baggie containing approximately 1.5 grams of methamphetamine. Respondent was charged with possession of methamphetamine, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a).

## 

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Criminal Conviction)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 4301, subdivision (I), based on a criminal conviction, in that on or about March 13, 2014, in the Sacramento County Superior Court case entitled *People v. Gary Chin*, Case Number 14F00772, Respondent pled note contendere to one count of violating Health and Safety Code section 1137(a) (possession of a controlled substance – Methamphetamine), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime are incorporated by reference in paragraph 10, subsection b, above.

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 32898, issued to Gary Chin;
- 2. Ordering Gary Chin to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 4/24/15

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant